

A Proof of Lemma 18

To prove Lemma 18, we assume that

$$f_0 = f = 2 \text{ and } k_0 + k_1 + k_2 = k \geq 2.$$

Since each G_i is many-to-many $(0, k)$ -disjoint path coverable and $2k - 1$ -fault hamiltonian, we have

$$2k \leq \delta - 1, \text{ and thus } 1 + 2k \leq \Delta - 1,$$

where $\delta = \min_i \delta(G_i)$ and $\Delta = \delta(G_0 \oplus G_1)$. We have two faulty vertices in G_0 , and thus we can not employ Lemma 8 which requires $f + 2k \leq \Delta - 1$, to guarantee existence of pairwise disjoint free bridges. However, by Remark 2, we can see that for any set of terminals $W_l = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_l\}$ contained in one component such that $l \leq 2k$, there exist l pairwise disjoint free bridges of w_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq l$.

Case 1: $k_1 \geq 1$.

In this case, Procedures DPC-A and DPC-B are utilized with the roles of G_0 and G_1 interchanged.

Lemma A.1 *When $1 \leq k_1 \leq k - 1$, Procedure DPC-A($G_1 \oplus G_0, R, F$) constructs a k -DPC.*

Proof G_1 is $2k_2$ -fault k_1 -disjoint path coverable since $2k_1 + 2k_2 \leq 2k$, and thus k_1 -DPC exists in G_1 . G_0 is 2-fault many-to-many $k - 1$ -disjoint path coverable, and $k_0 + k_2 \leq k - 1$, thus $k_0 + k_2$ -DPC exists in G_0 . \square

Lemma A.2 *When $k_1 = k$, Procedure DPC-B($G_1 \oplus G_0, R, F$) constructs a k -DPC for $k \geq 3$ or for $k = 2$ and $|X_1| = 2$.*

Proof By the choice of s_1 in Procedure DPC-B, if $k \geq 3$, then $|X_1| = 2$. There exists a $k - 1$ -DPC in G_1 since G_1 is 2-fault $k - 1$ -disjoint path coverable. The existence of a hamiltonian path in G_0 is straightforward. \square

Lemma A.3 *When $k_1 = k = 2$ and $|X_1| \geq 3$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof Obviously, $|X_1| = 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume that \bar{s}_1 and \bar{s}_2 are the faulty vertices. First, we find a free bridge $B_{s_2} = (s_2, \dots, s'_2)$ of s_2 , and find $H[s_1, t_2 | G_1, F']$, where $F' = V_1 \cap V(B_{s_2})$. Let the hamiltonian path be $(s_1, \dots, t_1, z, \dots, t_2)$. Then, we find a hamiltonian path $H[s'_2, \bar{z} | G_0, F_0]$, and merge the two hamiltonian paths with B_{s_2} and (z, \bar{z}) . Note that (z, \bar{z}) is the free bridge of z . Existence of the hamiltonian paths is straightforward. \square

Case 2: $k_0 \geq 1$ and $k'_2 \geq 2$ ($k_1 = 0$).

Lemma A.4 When $k_0 \geq 1$ and $k'_2 \geq 2$, Procedure DPC-A($G_0 \oplus G_1, R, F$) constructs a k -DPC.

Proof G_0 is $2+k'_2+2k''_2$ -fault k_0 -disjoint path coverable since $2k_0+2+k'_2+2k''_2 \leq 2k_0+2k'_2+2k''_2 = 2k$.

The existence of a 0-fault k_2 -DPC in G_1 is straightforward. \square

Case 3: $k_2 = k$ and $k'_2 \geq 2$ ($k_1 = 0$).

Lemma A.5 When $k_2 = k$ and $k'_2 \geq 2$, Procedure DPC-D($G_0 \oplus G_1, R, F$) constructs a k -DPC unless $k'_2 = k = 2$.

Proof We first show a claim that for a set of terminals $W_k = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ such that $w_1 = t_1$ and $\{w_2, \dots, w_k\} = \{s_2, \dots, s_k\}$, there exist k pairwise disjoint free bridges of w_j 's, $1 \leq j \leq k$, in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 8. We utilize the term 'occupied columns' and notation $c(l)$, $f(l)$, and $t(l)$ given in the proof of Lemma 8. We have four base cases as follows:

(i) when \bar{t}_1 is free: $c(1) = 1$, $f(1) = 0$, and $t(1) = 1$;

(ii) when $\bar{t}_1 \in F_0$: $c(1) = 2$, $f(1) = 1$, and $t(1) = 1$;

(iii) when $\bar{t}_1 = s_1$: $c(1) = 2$, $f(1) = 0$, and $t(1) = 2$;

(iv) when $\bar{t}_1 = s_i$ for some $i \geq 2$: By the choice of s_1 , $\bar{s}_1 = t_j$ for some j . We assume w.l.o.g. that $\bar{s}_1 = t_2$. Let $w_2 = s_i$. We show that there exist pairwise disjoint free bridges of t_1 and s_i . We first find a free bridge of t_1 . The free bridge occupies two columns. Let the column containing (s_1, t_2) be occupied. Then, three columns are occupied and there are three terminals t_1 , s_1 , and t_2 (excluding s_i) in the occupied columns. A free bridge of s_i exists since there are at least Δ candidates and at most $2k+1$ blocking elements (3 occupied columns, $2k-1-3$ terminals in unoccupied columns, and 2 faulty vertices). Thus, $c(2) = 4$, $f(2) = 0$, and $t(2) = 4$.

Assuming there exist pairwise disjoint free bridges for $W_{l-1} = W_l \setminus w_l$ satisfying $c(l-1) \leq f(l-1) + t(l-1)$, we can always find pairwise disjoint free bridges for W_l satisfying $c(l) \leq f(l) + t(l)$. Thus, we have the claim. To prove existence of the hamiltonian path in G_0 , we will show that $2 + |F'| \leq 2k - 2$. When $k''_2 \geq 1$, $2 + |F'| = 2 + 2(k''_2 - 1) + k'_2 \leq 2k - 2$. When $k''_2 = 0$, we have $2 + |F'| = 2 + k'_2 - 1 \leq 2k - 2$ unless $k = 2$. The existence of a $k_2 - 1$ -DPC is straightforward. \square

Lemma A.6 When $k'_2 = k = 2$, a k -DPC can be constructed.

Proof We first find a free bridge $B_{s_2} = (s_2, \bar{s}_2)$ of s_2 , and find a hamiltonian cycle $C_0 = (s_1, x, \dots, y)$ in $G_0 \setminus F_0 \cup F'$, where $F' = \{s_2\}$. When \bar{x} is a free vertex, we find 2-DPC $[\{(x, t_1), (\bar{s}_2, t_2)\} | G_1, \emptyset]$, and

then merge the 2-DPC with the hamiltonian cycle. When \bar{y} is a free vertex, a symmetric construction will do. When both \bar{x} and \bar{y} are not free vertices, we assume w.l.o.g. that $\bar{x} = t_1$ and $\bar{y} = t_2$. We find $H[\bar{s}_2, t_2 | G_1, F'']$, where $F'' = \{t_1\}$. Finally we merge the hamiltonian path and the hamiltonian cycle C_0 with the free bridges of s_2 and the edge (x, t_1) . \square

Case 4: $k'_2 = 0$ ($k_1 = 0$).

Observe that for each $j \in I_2$, $\bar{s}_j = t_i$ for some $i \in I_2$, and thus for any other fault-free vertex v in G_0 , (v, \bar{v}) is the free bridge of v .

Lemma A.7 *When $k'_2 = 0$ and $k_0 \geq 2$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof We first find pairwise disjoint free bridges $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \bar{s}_j)$, $B_{t_j} = (t_j, \bar{t}_j)$ for all $j \in I_0 \setminus \{1, 2\}$, and $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \dots, s'_j)$ for all $j \in I_2$. And then we find $H[s_2, t_2 | G_0, F_0 \cup F']$, where $F' = V_0 \cap [\bigcup_{j \in I_0 \setminus \{1, 2\}} (V(B_{s_j}) \cup V(B_{t_j})) \cup \bigcup_{j \in I_2} V(B_{s_j})]$. Let the hamiltonian path be $(s_2, \dots, x, s_1, \dots, t_1, y, \dots, t_2)$. The hamiltonian path exists since $2 + |F'| = 2 + 2(k - 2) = 2k - 2$. Finally, we find $k - 1$ -DPC $[\{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\} \cup \{(\bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_j) | j \in I_0 \setminus \{1, 2\}\} \cup \{(s'_j, t_j) | j \in I_2\} | G_1, \emptyset]$, and merge the hamiltonian path and the $k - 1$ -DPC. \square

Lemma A.8 *When $k'_2 = 0$ and $k_2 \geq 2$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof We first find pairwise disjoint free bridges $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \bar{s}_j)$, $B_{t_j} = (t_j, \bar{t}_j)$ for all $j \in I_0$, and $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \dots, s'_j)$ for all $j \in I_2 \setminus \{k - 1, k\}$, and then find a hamiltonian path $P_0 = H[s_{k-1}, s_k | G_0, F_0 \cup F']$, where $F' = V_0 \cap [\bigcup_{j \in I_0} (V(B_{s_j}) \cup V(B_{t_j})) \cup \bigcup_{j \in I_2 \setminus \{k-1, k\}} V(B_{s_j})]$. Let $P_0 = (s_{k-1}, \dots, x, y, \dots, s_k)$, where x and y are free vertices. The existence of P_0 is due to that $2 + |F'| = 2k - 2$, and the existence of x and y is due to the fact that the number of vertices on P_0 is at least four since $2k \leq \delta(G_0) - 1 \leq n - 2$. Finally, we find k -DPC $[\{(\bar{x}, t_{k-1}), (\bar{y}, t_k)\} \cup \{(\bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_j) | j \in I_0\} \cup \{(s'_j, t_j) | j \in I_2 \setminus \{k - 1, k\}\} | G_1, \emptyset]$, and merge the hamiltonian path and the k -DPC. \square

Lemma A.9 *When $k'_2 = 0$, $k_0 \leq 1$, and $k_2 \leq 1$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof It follows that $k_0 = k_2 = 1$. It holds true that $\bar{s}_2 = t_2$ since $k_2 = 1$ and $k'_2 = 0$. It suffices to construct a hamiltonian path joining s_1 and t_1 in $G_0 \oplus G_1 \setminus F_0 \cup \{s_2, t_2\}$. First we find a hamiltonian cycle $C_0 = (s_1, \dots, x, t_1, \dots, y)$ in $G_0 \setminus F_0 \cup F'$, where $F' = \{s_2\}$. And then we find $H[\bar{x}, \bar{y} | G_1, F'']$, where $F'' = \{t_2\}$. Obviously, \bar{x} and \bar{y} are free vertices. Finally, we merge the hamiltonian cycle and the hamiltonian path with edges (x, \bar{x}) and (y, \bar{y}) . \square

Case 5: $k'_2 = 1$ ($k_1 = 0$).

There exists t_j , $j \in I_2$, such that $\bar{t}_j \neq s_i$ for all $i \in I_2$. Such a t_j is unique, and let $\alpha = \bar{t}_j$. We denote by P^R the reverse of a path P , that is, $P^R = (v_l, v_{l-1}, \dots, v_1)$ for $P = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l)$.

Lemma A.10 *When (a) $k'_2 = 1$, (b) $k''_2 \geq 1$, and either (c1) $k_0 = 0$ or (c2) $k_0 \geq 1$ and $s_i, t_i \neq \alpha$ for all $i \in I_0$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof We assume w.l.o.g. that \bar{s}_k is a sink in G_1 . We first find pairwise disjoint free bridges $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \bar{s}_j)$, $B_{t_j} = (t_j, \bar{t}_j)$ for all $j \in I_0$, and $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \dots, s'_j)$ for all $j \in I_2 \setminus k$, and then find a hamiltonian cycle $C_0 = (s_k, x, \dots, y)$ in $G_0 \setminus F_0 \cup F'$, where $F' = V_0 \cap [\bigcup_{j \in I_0} (V(B_{s_j}) \cup V(B_{t_j})) \cup \bigcup_{j \in I_2 \setminus k} V(B_{s_j})]$. We assume w.l.o.g. $x \neq \alpha$. The hamiltonian cycle exists since $2 + |F'| = 2 + 2(k-1) - 1 = 2k - 1$. Finally, we find k -DPC $[\{(x, t_k)\} \cup \{(\bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_j) | j \in I_0\} \cup \{(s'_j, t_j) | j \in I_2 \setminus k\} | G_1, \emptyset]$, and merge the hamiltonian cycle and the k -DPC. \square

Lemma A.11 *When (a) $k'_2 = 1$ and either (b1) $k''_2 = 0$ or (b2) $k''_2 \geq 1$, $k_0 \geq 1$, and there exists $i \in I_0$ such that $s_i = \alpha$ or $t_i = \alpha$, a k -DPC can be constructed.*

Proof It follows that $k_0 \geq 1$. If $\alpha \in \{s_i, t_i | i \in I_0\}$, we let $s_1 = \alpha$; else if there exists $i \in I_0$ such that $(s_i, \alpha) \notin E(G_0)$ or $(t_i, \alpha) \notin E(G_0)$, we let s_1 be such a terminal, that is, $(s_1, \alpha) \notin E(G_0)$; else, we let s_1 be an arbitrary source such that $t_1 \in V_0$. We first find pairwise disjoint free bridges $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \bar{s}_j)$, $B_{t_j} = (t_j, \bar{t}_j)$ for all $j \in I_0 \setminus 1$, and $B_{s_j} = (s_j, \dots, s'_j)$ for all $j \in I_2$, and then we find a hamiltonian cycle $C_0 = (s_1, x_1, \dots, y_2, t_1, y_1, \dots, x_2)$ in $G_0 \setminus F_0 \cup F'$, where $F' = V_0 \cap [\bigcup_{j \in I_0 \setminus 1} (V(B_{s_j}) \cup V(B_{t_j})) \cup \bigcup_{j \in I_2} V(B_{s_j})]$. The hamiltonian cycle exists since $2 + |F'| = 2 + 2(k-1) - 1 = 2k - 1$. When $x_2, y_2 \neq \alpha$, we can construct a k -DPC by finding k -DPC $[\{(x_2, y_2)\} \cup \{(\bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_j) | j \in I_0 \setminus 1\} \cup \{(s'_j, t_j) | j \in I_2\} | G_1, \emptyset]$ and merging the hamiltonian cycle C_0 and the k -DPC in G_1 . Similarly, when $x_1, y_1 \neq \alpha$, we can also construct a k -DPC. Observe that such a pair x_i and y_i exist if $\alpha \in F_0$, if $\alpha = s_1$, or if $(s_1, \alpha) \notin E(G_0)$. This completes the proof for the case that the conditions (a) and (b2) are satisfied. Hereafter, we assume that (b1) $k''_2 = 0$. Suppose that no such a pair x_i and y_i exist. Then, (s_1, α) and (t_1, α) must be edges on C_0 , that is, $C_0 = (s_1, \alpha, t_1, Q)$. Note that by the choice of s_1 , α is a free vertex such that $(s_i, \alpha), (t_i, \alpha) \in E(G_0)$ for all $i \in I_0$. When $k_0 \geq 2$ ($k \geq 3$), we let an s_1 - t_1 path be (s_1, Q^R, t_1) , and let an s_2 - t_2 path be (s_2, α, t_2) , and construct all the other paths by utilizing $k - 2$ -DPC $[\{(\bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_j) | j \in I_0 \setminus \{1, 2\}\} \cup \{(s'_j, t_j) | j \in I_2\} | G_1, \emptyset]$. When $k_0 = 1$ ($k = 2$), we find $H[s_1, t_1 | G_0, F_0]$ and let the hamiltonian path be $(s_1, \dots, x, s_2, \dots, \alpha, y, \dots, t_1)$. Merging the hamiltonian path and

$H[\bar{x}, \bar{y}|G_1, F'']$, where $F'' = \{t_2\}$, with the edges (x, \bar{x}) , (y, \bar{y}) , and (α, t_2) results in a 2-DPC. This completes the proof. \square

Remark Lemma 18 can be extended to Lemma A.12 as follows. The proof can be derived from the proof of Lemma 18 and is omitted in this paper.

Lemma A.12 *For any $k \geq 2$ and $f \geq 0$, if G_i is many-to-many (f, k) -disjoint path coverable and $f + 2k - 1$ -fault hamiltonian for each $i = 0, 1$, then $G_0 \oplus G_1$ with $|F| \leq f + 2$ and $f_0 \geq 2$ is many-to-many k -disjoint path coverable.*